RA 26-204

RACING AND GAMING COMMISSION[491]

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 491—Chapter 3
"Fair Information Practices"

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 22.11
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code section 22.11

Public Hearing

Public hearings at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

August 25, 2026 9 a.m.	6200 Park Avenue, Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa
August 25, 2026 2 p.m.	6200 Park Avenue, Suite 100 Des Moines, Iowa

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission (Commission) no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Barb Blake
Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission
6200 Park Avenue, Suite 100
Des Moines, Iowa 50321
Email: Barb.Blake@iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

In accordance with Executive Order 10, the Commission is reviewing all its rules prior to the agency review date of December 31, 2026. The Commission is an agency subject to Iowa Code section 22.11.

Chapter 3 of the Commission's rules addresses fair information practices as required by Iowa Code section 22.11. However, 2026 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2463, rescinds all but two rules in Chapter 3, effective July 1, 2026. After that date, uniform rules regarding fair information practices promulgated by the Administrative Rules Coordinator apply to the Commission under Iowa Code section 17A.24(2).

Of the two remaining rules in Chapter 3, one is not necessary to maintain and the other can be moved to 495—Chapter 1. Therefore, this proposed rulemaking rescinds Chapter 3 in its entirety.

Analysis of Impact

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**

- **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

Rescinding Chapter 3 will not impose additional costs.

- **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

By rescinding an entire chapter, this proposed rulemaking provides a general benefit of uniformity across agencies by deferring to the Administrative Rules Coordinator's uniform rules.

2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**

- **Quantitative description of impact:**

Rescinding Chapter 3 is unlikely to have any quantitative impact.

- **Qualitative description of impact:**

Rescinding Chapter 3 is unlikely to have any qualitative impact.

3. **Costs to the State:**

- **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

Because the entire chapter is proposed for rescission, there are no anticipated implementation or enforcement costs for the agency.

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

Rescinding Chapter 3 is not anticipated to impact State revenues.

4. **Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:**

The proposed rulemaking is required under Executive Order 10.

5. **Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

6. **Alternative methods considered by the agency:**

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

Not applicable.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

The proposed rulemaking is not anticipated to have a substantial impact on small business.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **491—Chapter 3**.